

Christchurch Bay and Harbour Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management (FCERM) Strategy - Consultation



The proposed leading options in this consultation were identified for each Option Development Unit (ODU) following public and stakeholder feedback on the short list of coastal flood and erosion management options and a multi-criteria appraisal. You can view more information on the FCERM Strategy project and on previous engagements here: haveyoursay.bcpcouncil.gov.uk/christchurchstrategy.

Each question in this survey focuses on the proposed leading option following the national rules which are used to assign funding to flood and coastal erosion risk management projects across England. Where appropriate, we have then developed the national option to better meet local aspirations (using public and stakeholder feedback) by enhancing it to provide wider community benefits or delivering it sooner. The leading national / local aspirational option for each ODU provide the necessary flexibility to adapt and deliver schemes according to the funding available at the time. Typically, funding does not cover the full cost of the proposed schemes and will need to be topped up using other funding sources.

For some ODUs where we believe funding to be very challenging, we have also identified a backup option. Whilst it would not be the ambition to implement the backup option, it is designed to keep areas as safe as we can for as long as we can with the least amount of money whilst plans to adapt areas are developed and implemented.

The timings of defence measures have been developed based on three time periods in the Strategy:

Short term: between 2023-2043
Medium term: between 2043-2073
Long term: between 2073-2123

The findings from this consultation will help confirm the proposed adaptive options to manage coastal flood and erosion risk across the strategy area. These will be included in the final Strategy for adoption by BCP Council, New Forest District Council and the Environment Agency; anticipated in 2024.

Please read the information document before answering the questions.

If you have any questions or need the survey in a different format, please email: coastal@bcpcouncil.gov.uk. You can also find more information on the main consultation page: haveyoursay.bcpcouncil.gov.uk/christchurchstrategyphase5.

The consultation will close at midnight on 27 August 2023.

Privacy statement

The survey data is hosted by Snap Surveys on behalf of BCP Council. The data is stored securely as specified in the Snap Surveys privacy policy: www.snapsurveys.com/survey-software/privacy-policy-uk. All information you provide is treated in confidence and in accordance with the Data Protection Act 2018. The information will be used for statistical and monitoring purposes. It will not be used to identify individuals apart from those instances where you give us consent to contact you using the personal details you have provided us with. You can find out more about how BCP Council use and store your data in our privacy policy: www.bcpcouncil.gov.uk/research-privacy.

Strategic Management Zones

There are 6 Strategic Management Zones (SMZs) as outlined below:

- Mudeford Sandbank (Zone 1)
- Christchurch Harbour (Zone 2)
- Christchurch Beaches and Cliffs (Zone 3)
- Naish Cliff and Barton on Sea (Zone 4)
- Taddiford Barton on Sea to Hordle Cliff (Zone 5)
- Milford on Sea (Zone 6)

Please complete the sections that you would like to give us your views about.

Zone 1 – Mudeford Sandbank

This section relates to Zone 1 which stretches from Hengistbury Head, immediately to the east of the Long Groyne, and Mudeford Sandbank including both the open coast and harbour sides.

Please read the information document before answering the questions. You can also view the map at haveyoursay,bcpcouncil.gov.uk/christchurchstrategyphase5.

Option Development Units (ODUs)

The leading options that were identified following the Phase 4 engagement and multi-criteria appraisal for the following ODUs are:

- ODU1: Hengistbury Head East - In the short term, the proposed national option would be to carry out small scale maintenance at the toe of the cliff. Once existing defences reach the end of their service life and fail, with no further action in the medium / long term. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would be to maintain / refurbish existing defences and undertake beach recycling to help sustain beach levels.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU1 in the information document.

- ODU2: Mudeford Sandbank - Initially in the short term, the proposed national option is to extend the life of the current defences through small scale maintenance with no further action in the medium and long term. If additional funding became available to deliver the aspirational option, the proposal would be to provide protection for permanent properties, maintain / refurbish the existing defences and carry out beach management in the short to medium term with large scale beach nourishment in the long term.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU2 in the information document.

Q1	To what extent do you agree of Please select one option per ro		e with th	e propose	d leading	options f	or:
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not sure/Don't know
	ODU1: Hengistbury Head East						
16.12/24.409.7/100.	ODU2: Mudeford Sandbank						
Q2	If you have any comments on clear which ODU you are com 1' before your answer.	nmenting o	on by us	ing its refe	rence nur	nber, e.g.	. put 'ODU
	ODU 1: The potential feature will be high	My des	HEIMEN	tal to lo	cal tou	eism.	? C
	Economy, as a print for the more affilia	me be	stion spend	for holi	day let cash in	3 and the	deav
	local acea.						
					1444		·

Zone 2 - Christchurch Harbour

This section relates to Zone 2 which covers the shoreline around Christchurch Harbour up to Tuckton Bridge on the River Stour and Knapp Mill on the River Avon.

Option Development Units (ODUs) - Zone 2 Part 1

The leading options that were identified following the Phase 4 engagement and multi-criteria appraisal for the following ODUs are:

- ODU3: Christchurch Harbour South - In the short, medium and long term the proposed national option is to provide protection for individual permanent properties at risk of flooding and explore opportunities for saltmarsh creation. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would be to construct erosion defences adjacent to the access road to Hengistbury Head (subject to a land contaminants assessment) and Wick historic landfill site, providing protection for permanent properties at risk of flooding and explore opportunities for saltmarsh creation.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU3 in the information document.

- **ODU4: Wick** - The proposed national option over time is to raise and lengthen the existing flood defence embankment to protect against flooding and sea level rise. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would be to carry out the embankment works as well as maintaining / refurbishing the frontline quay wall to prevent erosion of the land behind it, including the historic landfill site

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading option for ODU4 in the information document.

- ODU5: Willow Drive and the Quomps - The proposed national option over the short term would be to maintain the existing defences and provide protection for individual permanent properties at risk of flooding. The medium term would include upgrading the existing defences to improve the standard of protection with maintenance into the long term. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would be to upgrade the existing defences in the short term with proactive maintenance in the medium and long term.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU5 in the information document.

- ODU6: River Avon West Bank - The proposed national option is to maintain the frontline quay walls to prevent erosion and provide protection for permanent properties at risk of flooding.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU6 in the information document.

)3	To what extent do you agree or Please select one option per row		vith the	proposed	leading o	options f	or:
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not sure/Don't know
	ODU3: Christchurch Harbour South						
	ODU4: Wick						
and and the set his	ODU5: Willow Drive and the Quomps				CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR O	S 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
	ODU6: River Avon West Bank				1 Carlo Carl	A STATE OF THE STA	
Q4	If you have any comments on the clear which ODU you are commed in before your answer.			′ •			_
						1	
	'						

Option Development Units (ODUs) - Zone 2 Part 2

The leading options that were identified following the Phase 4 engagement and multi-criteria appraisal for the following ODUs are:

- ODU7: Rossiters Quay - The proposed national option is to proactively maintain existing defences in the short term until new flood defences could be constructed in the medium term to improve the standard of protection. These would then be maintained into the long term to extend their service life.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU7 in the information document.

- ODU8: River Avon East Bank - A leading option for this unit has not been developed because the Environment Agency are carrying out the Lower River Avon Project. The options for managing coastal flooding /erosion in this unit will be developed by the Environment Agency as part of this project. There is a significant risk of flooding in this area from high river levels as well as high tide levels from the harbour.

However, you can provide comments on this Unit in the dedicated comments box for ODU8 below.

- **ODU9: Stanpit** - The proposed national option is to carry out proactive maintenance in the short term until the defences can be raised and upgraded in the medium term to improve the standard of protection. In the long term the defences would be further lengthened and raised to keep pace with sea level rise. This option helps reduce erosion risk to the historic landfill site at Stanpit and would also explore environmental enhancements such as restoring the saltmarsh habitat.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU9 in the information document.

- **ODU10:** Mudeford - The proposed national option is to maintain/refurbish the existing quay walls to extend their service life in the short and medium term and provide protection to individual permanent properties at risk of flooding. In the long term, new flood defences would be constructed to provide a better standard of protection.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU10 in the information document.

- **ODU11: Mudeford Quay** - Initially in the short term, the proposed national option is to extend the life of the current quay walls through small scale maintenance with no further action in the medium and long term. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would be to maintain / refurbish the existing quay walls and defences and provide protection to individual permanent properties at risk of flooding as and when required.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading options for ODU11 in the information document.

Q5 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed leading options for: Please select one option per row only.

	Please selectione option p	er row only	•				
		Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not sure/Don't know
	ODU7: Rossiters Quay						
-200 at all resonant resonants	ODU9: Stanpit				rvarv.n.ar-aha <u>mmanananananananananananananananananan</u>	100 to 100 per	oder Parcel artell accessor and accessor accessor and accessor accessor and accessor accesso
Market Market Land	ODU10: Mudeford	nnananan in i rissimaa Astronocus (1990 in . a 1960 in .as in in in e	-1147 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417 - 1417		Managament of activities and a supply of agreement with a successful supply of a successful	regular bankan a kanagasa kili dhini ba dhe ba ka ha ha ha ha ha ha h	Arrist organization constraints and descriptions scattering and arrangements
	ODU11: Mudeford Quay			V			
Q6	If you have any commen clear which ODU you are 7' before your answer.						

Q7	Coastal flood risk and erosion f Avon Project, led by the Environ here, we will share them with the	nmen	t Age	ncy. If	you would			
Zon	ne 3 - Christchurch Beaches ar	nd Cli	ffs					
	s section relates to Zone 3 which eastern end of Highcliffe beach.	cover	s the	e coast	al frontage	from Gu	ndimore l	beach to
	ase read the information docume map at <u>haveyoursay.bcpcouncil.c</u>				-		ou can al	so view
Opt	tion Development Units (ODUs)						
	leading options that were identified raisal for the following ODUs are:	follov	ving t	he Pha	se 4 engage	ement and	d multi-crit	eria
proa wou long indiv the l	DU12: Avon Beach & Friars Cliff - actively maintain / refurbish the exist ald be constructed to better retain mag term, further beach nourishments re vidual permanent properties at risk of local aspirational option, the propose rishment in the short term, repeating	ing de aterial may b of floo al wou	efenc that e req ding. uld be	es until would b uired as If additi to upg	the mediung supplied as well as local funding rade the de	n term wh by beach calised pro g became fences ar	en new gr nourishm tection to available nd underta	oynes ent. In the to deliver
	can refer to the visual representation	n of t	he pr	oposed	leading op	tions for C	DU12 in	the
defe wou nour fund as th	DU13: Highcliffe - The proposed nate ences adjacent to Chewton Bunny. It is maintained / refurbished along rishment scheme would improve be ding became available to deliver the he national option but beach nourisher frequent nourishments in the long	n the g with ach le local nment	short beac vels aspir wou	, mediu ch mana and pro ational d ld take p	m and long gement. In tect against option, it wo	term, existhe long to sea level ould largel	sting defe erm a bea rise. If ac y remain	nces ach Iditional the same
	can refer to the visual representation	n of t	he pr	oposed	leading opt	tions for C	DU13 in	the
Q8	To what extent do you agree or Please select one option per row		jree v	with the	proposed	leading	options f	or:
	•	Stro	ngly	Aaraa	Neither	Dieggras	Strongly	Not sure/Don't
		agr		Agree	agree nor disagree	Disagree	disagree	know
	ODU12: Avon Beach & Friars Clif	f					A TOP AND THE PARTY OF THE PART	
	ODU13: Highcliffe		╛		\checkmark			

Q9	If you have an clear which O 12' before you	DU you are co	n these propose mmenting on by	ed options, plea using its refer	ase tell us here ence number, o	making it e.g. put 'ODU
					:	
-7an	-1 Noich C	liff and Parto	- 0.5 200			
	e 4 - Naish C	,		-		
			ich covers the a coastal defence		newton Bunny t	to the
			ment before ans cil.gov.uk/christ			n also view
Opti	on Developm	ent Units (OD	Us)			
	eading options taisal for ODU14		fied following the	Phase 4 engag	ement and multi	-criteria
unde defer cliff s aspira imple in the	rtake maintenar nces would be u lop drainage / s ational option, the ment the defen- e short term. Eith	nce of existing of pgraded and sutabilisation work he proposal wouce upgrades, be ner option would	n Sea - The prop defences where p apported by beac ks. If additional fu uld largely remair each nourishmen d not stop cliff top over time and thi	ossible, until the n nourishment a nding became a nthe same as th t and cliff slop d o erosion, but on	e medium term value the base of the base of the available to deliving national option rainage / stabilisuly slow it down,	when e cliff, and ver the local n but sation sooner
	can refer to the v	•	tation of the prop	osed leading op	tion for ODU14	in the
Q10	ODU14: Naish	t do you agree Cliff and Bartone option only.		h the proposed	l leading optior	ns for
	Strongly	Agree	Neither agree nor	Disagree	Strongly	Not sure/Don't
	agree	- Agroc	disagree		disagree	know
				lacksquare		
Q11	If you have an	y comments o	n these propose	ed options, plea	ase tell us here	•
	KE woold	like to s	n these propose	Robust act	ion taken -	to
	PLESERVE	the icon	ic landsca	pe and 4	he fossil	beds
	within.					

٠, ,

Zone 5 - Barton on Sea to Hordle Cliff (Taddiford)

This section relates to Zone 5 which covers the Taddiford area between Barton on Sea and Hordle Cliff. The west boundary of the ODU is at the eastern end of the Barton on Sea defences and the east boundary is at West Road (western end of the Hordle beach huts).

Please read the information document before answering the questions. You can also view the map at haveyoursay.bcpcouncil.gov.uk/christchurchstrategyphase5.

Option Development Units (ODUs)

The leading options that were identified following the Phase 4 engagement and multi-criteria appraisal for ODU15 are:

- ODU15: Barton on Sea to Hordle Cliff (Taddiford) - The proposed national option is to do nothing and allow the cliffs to erode over time and provide additional health and safety compliance when required.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading option for ODU15 in the information document.

Q12 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed leading options for ODU14: Naish Cliff and Barton on Sea?

Please select one option only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not sure/Don't know
Q13	If you have an	y comments o	on these propose	ed options, plea	ase tell us here.	
			•			

Zone 6 - Milford on Sea

This section relates to Zone 6 which covers the coastal frontage between Hordle Cliff and the eastern end of Milford on Sea.

Option Development Units (ODUs)

The leading options that were identified following the Phase 4 engagement and multi-criteria appraisal for the following ODUs are:

- ODU16: Cliff Road - The proposed national option is to control the rate of cliff erosion and transition the cliff line to a more sustainable position. In the short term, this involves maintenance of existing defences as the cliff erodes, before a new strong point is constructed in the medium term, supported by beach renourishment to further control cliff erosion. In the long term further beach nourishments may be required. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would largely remain the same as the national option but implemented sooner in the short term, with further beach nourishments in the medium and long term.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading option for ODU16 in the information document.

- **ODU17:** Rook Cliff - The proposed national option is to refurbish and maintain the existing defences along the base of the cliff in the short term, until they are upgraded in the medium term with new rock revetment and groynes. If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would largely remain the same as the national option but implemented sooner in the short term.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading option for ODU17 in the information document.

- ODU18: Milford on Sea Frontage - The proposed national option is to refurbish and maintain the existing defences in the short term, supported by continued small scale beach nourishment to help sustain beach levels. In the medium term, a large beach nourishment would be undertaken, alongside construction of a higher seawall and new, larger groynes. A setback flood defence would also be constructed adjacent to Sturt Pond, alongside protection to individual permanent properties at risk of flooding as and when required.

If additional funding became available to deliver the local aspirational option, the proposal would largely remain the same as the national option but the larger beach nourishment, raised seawall and new groynes would be implemented sooner in the short term, with further beach nourishment in the medium and long term. The setback flood defence would still be constructed adjacent to Sturt Pond, alongside protection to individual permanent properties at risk of flooding, in the medium term.

You can refer to the visual representation of the proposed leading option for ODU18 in the information document.

Q14 To what extent do you agree or disagree with the proposed leading options for: Please select one option per row only.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Not sure/Don't know
ODU16: Cliff Road						
ODU17: Rook Cliff			$oldsymbol{arphi}$			
ODU18: Milford on Sea Frontage			V			

11	6' before your answer.	
ı		
L		
Consid	dering Equalities and Human Rights	
and propartner		ne impact of their decisions on human rights der, gender reassignment, marriage and civil ion or belief, and sexual orientation) as
B ar th	SCP Council should take into account in re you able to provide any supporting in	ts of these proposals that you believe that relation to equalities or human rights? If so, nformation and suggest any ways in which a any potential negative impacts and increase
	N/A.	
		,
Stayin	g Informed and Engaged	
рі	low would you like to be kept informed a rogress this work? select all that apply.	about the Strategy development as we
Г	Local media news: press, radio, TV	Promotional posters/flyers
	•	Online presentations
	Social media: Facebook, Twitter	
	Social media: Facebook, Twitter Email to stakeholders	Online surveys and interactive maps
	= ,	
	Email to stakeholders	Online surveys and interactive maps

,

.